NOTES ON THE GENUS LACON (ELATERIDAE)

By Ross H. Arnett, Jr.

The type of the genus Lacon Castelnau is Lacon atomarius (Fabricius), designated by Hyslop, 1921. Unfortunately, the type specimen of this species is unavailable, so that its zoological characters cannot be determined. However, a study of the literature has revealed some evidence which I believe is sufficient for a good working basis for determining the characters to be ascribed to the genus Lacon.

In my recent paper on the Nearctic Adelocerina¹ I considered (on page 117) Elater murinus Linnaeus, 1758, as the earliest name for Elater atomarius Fabricius, 1798, the type of the genus Lacon Castelnau, 1836. Further study, given in the notes below, has shown the following synonymy to be probably correct:

Lacon punctatus (Herbst, 1779)
carbonarius (Schrank, 1781)
pulverulentus (Panzer, 1795)
atomarius (Fabricius, 1798)

Synonymical notes. Elater punctatus Herbst, 1779, Beschäftigungen der Berlinischen Gesellschaft naturforschender Freunde, vol. 4, p. 316, pl. 7, fig. 1. The figure agrees with the description of this species and is recognizable as a member of the subtribe Adelocerina. I have found no evidence to indicate any disagreement between the figure and the description, even though Panzer apparently thought otherwise, as is indicated under the note on Elater pulverulentus below.

Elater carbonarius Schrank, 1781, Enumeratio insectorum Austriae indigenorum, p. 184. This species is treated by Candèze, 1891 (Catalogue methodique des Élatèrides, p. 12) as the valid name, with *E. atomarius* Fabricius, *E. punctata* Herbst, and *E. pulverulenta* Panzer as synonyms. On the basis of Candeze's synonymy. I here include this species as a synonym of *E. punctatus* Herbst.

Elater pulverulentus Panzer, 1795, Deutschlands Insectenfauna, p. 235 [not Herbst, 1786, in Fuessley, Archiv der Insectengeschichte, vol. 7, p. 172, a synonym of *Chalcolepidius porca*tus (L.)]. The Panzer species is a new name for the figure of

¹Arnett, R. H., Jr. A review of the Nearctic Adelocerina (Coleoptera: Elateridae, Pyrophorinae, Pyrophorini). Wasmann Journ. Biol., vol. 10, pp. 103-126, 1952.

Elater punctatus Herbst, 1779, which Panzer apparently considered different from the description, because he refers to the figure only. So far, as mentioned above, there appears to be no evidence other than this to indicate that the figure of *E. punctatus* is different from the species Herbst described.

Elater atomarius Fabricius, 1798, Supplementum entomologiae systematicae, p. 136. Fabricius lists Elater pulverulentus Panzer as a synonym of E. atomarius. Apparently Fabricius realized that Panzer's name was a homonym. Panzer's description of E. pulverulentus agrees with Fabricius' description of E. atomarius, and Candèze treated both of them as synonyms of E. punctata. Fabricius gives this species the number 28-9 which means that it is to be inserted between species number 28 and 29 in his Entomologia Systematica, 1792, which contains E. murinus L. as number 26, showing that Fabricius considered the two species E. murinus and E. atomarius as separate species.

Elater murinus Linnaeus, 1758, Systema Naturae, ed. 10, page 406. This species, the type of the genus Agrypnus Eschscholtz, 1829, and of Archontas Gozis, 1886, is not Nearctic, and belongs in the genus Adelocera Latreille, 1829. E. murinus was formerly thought to be the type of the genus Lacon. This would have placed Lacon and Adelocera as synonyms because E. murinus is congeneric with E. ovalis Germar, 1824, the genotype of Adelocera. Since it has now been shown that the genotype of Lacon is something entirely different, the generic name Lacon again becomes available for our Nearctic species. No members of the genus Adelocera are found in the Nearctic region. Adelocera murinus (Linnaeus) then becomes simply an included species within the old world genus Adelocera.

From the above discussion it may be seen that the zoological basis of the genus Lacon, if the present synonymy is correct, includes the characters of the species $Elater\ punctatus$ Herbst. The subgenus Danosoma Thomson, 1859, then becomes a synonym of Lacon because the genotype of Danosoma is $Elater\ conspersa$ Gyllenhal, which is congeneric with $Lacon\ punctatus$.

It has been brought to my attention by several colleagues that the name *Lepidotus* Stephens, 1830 is a homonym of *Lepidotus* Asso, 1801 (Anales de Ciencias Naturales [Madrid], vol. 4, p. 38 (fishes). This unfortunately results in further name changes in the Adelocerina. The subgenus Lepidotus Stephens is without a valid name. I therefore here propose the new name Zalepia (Gr., very small scales; feminine) to replace the homonym Lepidotus Stephens. The genotype of Zalepia is automatically the same as that of Lepidotus Stephens, which is Elater varius Olivier, 1790 by designation of Hyslop, 1921. Zalepia includes the Nearctic species, Z. modesta (Boisduval), Z. impressicollis (Say), Z. discoidea (Weber), Z. aurorata (Say), Z. maculata (LeConte), and Z. candida (Fall).

The following is a list of the Nearctic subgenera of *Lacon* as the results of these changes:

Lacon Castelnau 1836; type: punctatus (Hbst.)

Subgenus Lacon sensu stricto

Danosoma Thomson, 1859 (subjective synonym); type: conspersa (Gyll.)

Subgenus Zalepia Arnett; type: varius (Oliv.)

Lepidotus Stephens (not Asso, 1801); type: varius (Oliv.)

Subgenus Diphyaulon Arnett, 1952; type: pyrsolepis (LeC.)

Subgenus Aulacon Arnett, 1952; type: nobilis (Fall)

Thus, the fifteen species included in the genus Lepidotus in my Nearctic revision are now in the genus Lacon; the six species included in the subgenus Lepidotus are now in the subgenus Zalepia; the four species in the subgenus Diphyaulon remain in that subgenus, but as Lacon (Diphyaulon); the three species in Aulacon remain in that subgenus, but as Lacon (Aulacon), and the two species in the subgenus Danosoma are now in the subgenus Lacon sensu stricto.